

The Aftermath of Hobby Lobby: HSAs and HRAs as the Least Restrictive Means

42 Rutgers L. Rec. 110 (2015) | [WestLaw](#) | [LexisNexis](#) | [PDF](#)

In *Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.*, the United States Supreme Court held that the Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993 (RFRA) does not require closely-held corporations' employer-sponsored medical plans to provide forms of contraception that shareholders of such corporations object to on religious grounds. The question now raised is how the President, Congress, and the departments of Health and Human Services (HHS), Treasury and Labor, ought to respond to the Hobby Lobby decision.

[View the entire article -->](#)